



OVERVIEW OF THE OUTPUT & RESULT INDICATORS OF THE GREECE-BULGARIA PROGRAMME 2021-2027			
Priorities	Specific Objective	Output Indicators	Result Indicators
1.A more Resilient and Greener Greece-Bulgaria Cross Border Territory	RSO2.4. Promoting climate change adaptation and disaster risk prevention, resilience taking into account ecosystem-based approaches	RCO24	RCR35
		RCO87	RCR84
	RSO2.6. Promoting the transition to a circular and resource efficient economy	RCO02	RCR104
		RCO116	GSR01
		RCO01	
	RSO2.7. Enhancing protection and preservation of nature, biodiversity and green infrastructure, including in urban areas, and reducing all forms of pollution	RCO39	RCR95
		RCO36	RCR50



Greece – Bulgaria

Priorities	Specific Objective	Output Indicators	Result Indicators
2. A more Accessible Greece-Bulgaria Cross Border Territory	RSO3.2. Developing and enhancing sustainable, climate resilient, intelligent and intermodal national, regional and local mobility, including improved access to TEN-T and cross-border mobility	GSO001	RCR56
		RCO50	RCR58
		RCO46	

Priorities	Specific Objective	Output Indicators	Result Indicators
3. A more Inclusive Greece-Bulgaria Cross Border Territory	RSO4.2. Improving equal access to inclusive and quality services in education, training and lifelong learning through developing accessible infrastructure, including by fostering resilience for distance and on-line education and training	RCO84	RCR81
		RCO85	
	RSO4.6. Enhancing the role of culture and sustainable tourism in economic development, social inclusion and social innovation	RCO77	RCR77
		RCO02	RCR03
		RCO01	

Interreg



Co-funded by
the European Union

Greece – Bulgaria

Greece – Bulgaria

OUTPUT INDICATORS

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF CF
1	Indicator code	RCO24
2	Indicator name	Investments in new or upgraded disaster monitoring, preparedness, warning and response systems against natural disasters
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO24 Climate: Investments in disaster management
3	Measurement unit	euro
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	>=0
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant
10	Definition and concepts	Total value of investments in projects supporting the development or upgrading of disaster monitoring, preparedness, warning and response systems linked to climate related natural risks. Upgrading should refer primarily to new functionalities or to upscaling of existing systems at national and regional levels. The indicator covers also cross-border and transnational investments in such measures / actions. The indicator covers interventions at national and regional level which are not disaster specific or which are not covered by the related common indicators for floods (RCO25, RCO105) or wildfires (RCO28).
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon completion of output in the supported project
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	CCO09
17	Notes	

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF (Interreg)
1	Indicator code	RCO87
2	Indicator name	Organisations cooperating across borders
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO87 Interreg: Organisations cooperating across borders
3	Measurement unit	organisations
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the organisations cooperating formally in supported projects. The organisations counted in this indicator are the legal entities including project partners and associated organizations, as mentioned in the financing agreement of the application. Organisations cooperating formally in small projects (for instance under a Small Project Fund) are also counted.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	At programme level, double counting should be avoided at the level of project partners and associated organizations.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	
17	Notes	RCO87 may be used together with RCO118, where relevant. In terms of links with common result indicators, RCO87 is intended to be used together with RCR84.

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF JTF
1	Indicator code	RCO01
2	Indicator name	Enterprises supported (of which: micro, small, medium, large)
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO01 Firms: All firms
3	Measurement unit	enterprises
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	>=0
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts all enterprises that receive monetary or in-kind support from ERDF and Cohesion Fund.</p> <p>The enterprise is the smallest combination of legal units that is an organisational unit producing goods and services, which benefits from a certain degree of autonomy in decision making, especially for the allocation of its current resources. An enterprise carries out one or more activities at one or more locations. An enterprise may be a sole legal unit. Legal units include legal persons whose existence is recognised by law independently of the individuals or institutions which may own them or are members of them, such as general partnerships, private limited partnerships, limited liability companies, incorporated companies etc.</p> <p>Legal units as well include natural persons who are engaged in an economic activity in their own right, such as the owner and operator of a shop or a garage, a lawyer or a self-employed handicrafts-person. (ESTAT in references, based on Council Regulation (EEC) No. 696/93, Section III A of 15.03.1993)</p> <p>For the purpose of this indicator, enterprises are profit-oriented organisations that produce goods and services to satisfy market needs. For Policy Objective 4 (Social Europe closer to its citizens), the indicator includes also social enterprises defined by the Commission (DGGROW) as follows: “a social enterprise is an operator in the social economy whose main objective is to have a social impact rather than make a profit for their owners or shareholders. It operates by providing goods and services for the market in an entrepreneurial and innovative fashion and uses its profits primarily to achieve social objectives.”</p> <p>Classification of enterprises:</p> <p>Micro enterprise (<= 10 employees and annual turnover ≤ EUR 2 million, or balance sheet ≤ EUR 2 million);</p> <p>Small enterprise (10-49 employees and annual turnover >EUR 2 million -</p>

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF JTF
1	Indicator code	RCO02
2	Indicator name	Enterprises supported by grants
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO02 Firms: Grant aided
3	Measurement unit	enterprises
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF
10	Definition and concepts	Number of enterprises receiving monetary support in the form of grants. For the definition of an enterprise see RCO01.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement achieved	Completion of output financed by the grant support.
13	Aggregation issues	Rule 1: Double counting removed at the level of the specific objective. An enterprise is counted once regardless of how many times it receives support from operations in the same specific objective.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	See RCO01
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	
17	Notes	Use together with indicator RCO01.

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF (Interreg)
1	Indicator code	RCO116
2	Indicator name	Jointly developed solutions
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO116 Interreg: Jointly developed solutions
3	Measurement unit	solutions
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	>=0
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the number of jointly developed solutions from joint pilot actions implemented by supported projects. In order to be counted in the indicator, an identified solution should include indications of the actions needed for it to be taken up or to be upscaled.</p> <p>A jointly developed solution implies the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) in the drafting and design process of the solution or is developed in the scope of programmes within strands D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	Exclude overlap with RCO117. See notes for details on how to avoid double counting.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	
17	Notes	<p>In order to avoid double counting, the solutions relevant for this indicator (i.e. RCO116) should not have the main topics linked to administrative or legal frameworks, as these are counted in indicator RCO117.</p> <p>For all other topics of the solutions, the indicator used for counting is RCO116.</p> <p>RCO116 may be used together with RCO83 and/or RCO84.</p> <p>In case RCO116 is used together with RCO83 and/or RCO84, the common result indicators which may be used are RCR79 and/or RCR104.</p>

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF CF JTF
1	Indicator code	RCO39
2	Indicator name	Area covered by systems for monitoring air pollution installed
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO39 Env: Area covered by systems for monitoring air pollution
3	Measurement unit	air quality zones
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	>=0
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	PO2 Greener Europe and under JTF
9	Specific objective	RSO2.7 Nature protection and biodiversity and under JTF
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator measures the number of air quality zones / agglomerations with one or more additional air quality monitoring stations financed through the supported projects. EU Clean Air Policy mandates the assessment of ambient air quality on the basis of common methods and criteria. For this purpose, Member States establish ‘air quality zones and agglomerations’ throughout their territory, and in each of these, air quality monitoring networks are installed. These networks can be further expanded by adding additional sampling points that comply with the methods and criteria defined in, and reported in accordance with, the Ambient Air Quality Directives (Directive 2008/50 and 2004/107). Such sampling points provide both validated and up-to-date assessment data. Maintenance or repair are excluded.
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon completion of output in the supported project
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	Ambient Air Quality Directives 2008/50 and 2004/107.
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	
17	Notes	

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF CF JTF
1	Indicator code	RCO36
2	Indicator name	Green infrastructure supported for other purposes than adaptation to climate change
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO36 Env: Green infrastructure (not related to climate change)
3	Measurement unit	hectares
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	>=0
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	PO2 Greener Europe and under JTF
9	Specific objective	RSO2.7 Nature protection and biodiversity and under JTF
10	Definition and concepts	<p>Surface area of green infrastructure newly built or significantly upgraded for other purposes than adaptation to climate change. Upgrades refer to significant improvements in existing green infrastructures eligible for support. Maintenance is excluded. Examples of green infrastructure include biodiversity-rich parks, permeable soil cover, green walls, green roofs, green schoolyards etc. (see EEA 2011 in references)</p> <p>This indicator does not cover green infrastructure supported for adaptation to climate change (covered by indicator RCO26) or investments in Natura 2000 (covered by indicator RCO37).</p>
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon completion of output in the supported project
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	EEA (2011) - Green infrastructure and territorial cohesion, Technical report 18
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	CCO16
17	Notes	This indicator is meant to be used to reflect interventions for green infrastructure supported for other purposes than adaptation to climate change in order to prevent the overlap with the common indicator RCO26.

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF
1	Indicator code	GSO001
2	Indicator name	Length of railways with updated technical design
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	GSO001: Length of railways with updated technical design
3	Measurement unit	km
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	>=0
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	PO3 Connected Europe
9	Specific objective	RSO3.2. Developing and enhancing sustainable, climate resilient, intelligent and intermodal national, regional and local mobility, including improved access to TEN-T and cross-border mobility.
10	Definition and concepts	Total length of rail sections with studied / technical design or implemented upgraded security, inclusiveness, and sustainability status. Such interventions could cover rail features such as electrification, speed and safety. The indicator measures the length of tracks.
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon completion of output in the supported project.
13	Aggregation issues	Double counting should be removed at the level of the specific objective
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	-
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	-
17	Notes	-

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF
1	Indicator code	RCO50
2	Indicator name	Length of rail reconstructed or modernised - non-TEN-T
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO50 Rail: Length of rail - non-TEN-T
3	Measurement unit	km
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	PO3 Connected Europe
9	Specific objective	RSO3.2 Sustainable Transport
10	Definition and concepts	Total length of non-TENT rail sections reconstructed or modernised. Such interventions could cover rail features such as electrification, speed and safety. The indicator measures the length of tracks.
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon completion of output in the supported project
13	Aggregation issues	Double counting should be removed at the level of the specific objective
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	CCO23
17	Notes	Urban and suburban train lines are covered by indicator RCO56. New or upgraded non-TENT are included in indicator RCO48.

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF
1	Indicator code	RCO46
2	Indicator name	Length of roads reconstructed or modernised - non-TEN-T
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO46 Road: Length of roads- non-TEN-T
3	Measurement unit	km
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	PO3 Connected Europe
9	Specific objective	RSO3.2 Sustainable TEN-T
10	Definition and concepts	Total length of non-TEN-T road sections reconstructed or modernised due to the supported projects. Interventions could include construction works such as rebuilding, resurfacing, realignment etc. Roads are generally bi-directional (at least one lane in each direction. Road length shall be measured as the length of a bi-directional road (lane kilometres shall not be reported). The indicator does not cover interventions for traffic management systems (which are included in RCO109 for non-TEN-T). Furthermore, maintenance and repair (e.g. road patches, road markings) are excluded.
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon completion of output in the supported project
13	Aggregation issues	Double counting should be removed at the level of the specific objective
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	CCO22
17	Notes	Newly constructed and upgraded non-TENT roads are included in RCO44.

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF (Interreg)
1	Indicator code	RCO84
2	Indicator name	Pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO84 Interreg: Pilot actions developed and implemented jointly
3	Measurement unit	pilot action
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	>=0
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the pilot actions developed jointly and implemented by supported projects. The scope of a jointly developed pilot action could be to test procedures, new instruments, tools, experimentation or the transfer of practices. In order to be counted by this indicator,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the pilot action needs not only to be developed, but also implemented within the project and - the implementation of the pilot action should be finalised by the end of the project. <p>Jointly developed pilot action implies the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) in its implementation or is implemented in the scope of programmes falling under strands D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	Should a project foresee over the course of its implementation both the jointly development of strategies or action plans and their implementation through jointly developed pilot actions or jointly developed solutions, indicators RCO84 may be used together with RCO83 and/or RCO116 be used.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	
17	Notes	This indicator can also be used in the framework of strand 4 and 5 as defined by the Interreg Regulation. In case RCO84 is used together with RCO83 and/or RCO116, the common result indicators which may be used are RCR79 and/or RCR104.

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF (Interreg)
1	Indicator code	RCO85
2	Indicator name	Participations in joint training schemes
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO85 Interreg: Participations in joint training schemes
3	Measurement unit	participations
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>The indicator counts the number of participations in joint training schemes. Participations in a joint training schemes are intended to be counted as registered participants who started the training.</p> <p>A joint training scheme implies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the involvement of organizations from at least two participating countries (for programmes falling under strands A, B, C as defined in the Interreg Regulation) in the organisation of the training or - is developed in the scope of programmes falling under strand D or E as defined in the Interreg Regulation. <p>A joint training scheme requires building knowledge in a certain topic and involves the training of participants over several sessions. A one-off meeting/event/internal session where information is disseminated should not be considered as a training scheme.</p>
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon project finalisation
13	Aggregation issues	<p>Potential overlap in reporting with RCO81. See notes for further clarification on reporting.</p> <p>Double counting of participants in more than one training schemes organised by the same project should be excluded.</p>
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	

Greece – Bulgaria

17	Notes	<p>Values reported under this indicator shall not be reported under RCO81 or RCO82.</p> <p>RCO85 is not counting the number of participants who finalised the joint training schemes - this is done through RCR81.</p> <p>Joint trainings for which the training organisers do not intend to record the confirmed completions / do not intend to issue certificates of completion should be considered under the generic term of "joint actions" - the participations in this case should be counted in RCO81, not in RCO85.</p> <p>In terms of links with common result indicators, RCO85 is intended to be used together with RCR81 and may be used together with RCR85.</p>
----	-------	--

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF
1	Indicator code	RCO77
2	Indicator name	Number of cultural and tourism sites supported
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCO77 Number of cultural and tourism sites supported
3	Measurement unit	cultural and tourism sites
4	Type of indicator	output
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	≥ 0
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
9	Specific objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
10	Definition and concepts	Number of cultural and tourism sites supported by the Funds.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon completion of output in supported project
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	
17	Notes	

Greece – Bulgaria

RESULT INDICATORS

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF CF
1	Indicator code	RCR35
2	Indicator name	Population benefiting from flood protection measures
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCR35 Climate: Population benefiting from flood protection
3	Measurement unit	persons
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	not required
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	PO2 Greener Europe
9	Specific objective	RSO2.4 Climate change adaptation
10	Definition and concepts	Population living in areas where protection infrastructure (including also green infrastructure for adaptation to climate change) is built or significantly upgraded in order to reduce vulnerability to flood risks. The indicator counts the resident population at risk of flooding.
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon completion of output in the supported project
13	Aggregation issues	Rule 1: Remove double counting at the level of the specific objective. Population in a given area should be counted once even if covered by several projects financed in the same specific objective.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	CCR08
17	Notes	

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF (Interreg)
1	Indicator code	RCR84
2	Indicator name	Organisations cooperating across borders after project completion
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCR84 Interreg: Organisations cooperating post-project
3	Measurement unit	organisations
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	not required
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the organisations cooperating across borders after the completion of the supported projects. The organisations are legal entities involved in project implementation, counted within RCO87. The cooperation concept should be interpreted as having a statement that the entities have a formal agreement to continue cooperation, after the end of the supported project. The cooperation agreements may be established during the implementation of the project or within one year after the project completion. The sustained cooperation does not have to cover the same topic as addressed by the completed project.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system / Survey
12	Time measurement achieved	During project implementation / up to one year after project completion
13	Aggregation issues	At programme level, double counting should be avoided at the level of project partners and associated organizations.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	
17	Notes	In terms of links with common output indicator, RCR84 may be used together with RCO87. The value reported for RCR84 can be equal to or lower than the value of RCO87, but not higher.

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF (Interreg)
1	Indicator code	RCR104
2	Indicator name	Solutions taken up or up-scaled by organisations
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCR104 Interreg: Solutions taken up or up-scaled
3	Measurement unit	solutions
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	not required
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the number of solutions, other than legal or administrative solutions, that are developed by supported projects and are taken up or upscaled during the implementation of the project or within one year after project completion. The organisation adopting the solutions developed by the project may or may not be a participant in the project. The uptake / up-scaling should be documented by the adopting organisations in, for instance, strategies, action plans etc.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system / Survey
12	Time measurement achieved	During project implementation / up to one year after project completion
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	
17	Notes	In terms of links with common output indicator, RCR104 may be used together with RCO116 and/or RCO83 and/or RCO84.

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF JTF
1	Indicator code	GSR001
2	Indicator name	Enterprises adapting more sustainable processes
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	GSR001 - Enterprises adapting more sustainable processes
3	Measurement unit	enterprises
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	not required
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	2
9	Specific objective	2.vi
10	Definition and concepts	Number of enterprises supported which are introducing more sustainable (green) production or organisational processes . The indicator counts enterprises for which are investing in equipment, services or infrastructures that have as a result the improvement of the environmental footprint of their activities.
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon completion of activity for skills development
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	<i>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3). Disaggregation by type of skills reported only for achieved values.</i>
15	References	-
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	-
17	Notes	

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF CF
1	Indicator code	RCR95
2	Indicator name	Population having access to new or improved green infrastructure
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCR95 Env: Pop. with access to green infrastructure
3	Measurement unit	persons
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	not required
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant
10	Definition and concepts	Estimated population living within a 2 km radius from the public green infrastructure built or significantly upgraded in urban areas and supported by the projects (see EC 2012 study in references).
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	Upon completion of output in the supported project.
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	The Multifunctionality of Green Infrastructure, European Commission, DG Environment, in-depth study March 2012
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	
17	Notes	

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF CF JTF
1	Indicator code	RCR50
2	Indicator name	Population benefiting from measures for air quality
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCR50 Env: Population benefiting from measures for air quality
3	Measurement unit	persons
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	not required
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF
10	Definition and concepts	<p>Population living or working in treated areas with an improved air quality. Measures for improving air quality can include, for example, green infrastructure, cleaner public transport, redirection of traffic, etc.</p> <p>The measurement of air quality should be performed over a period of one year. The population can be estimated ex-post based, for example, on maps for air quality.</p> <p>Improved air quality should be interpreted in line with the terms of Directive 2008/50/EC (see references), and it should be documented based on monitoring systems and stations for air quality.</p>
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	One year after the completion of output in the supported project
13	Aggregation issues	Rule 1: Remove double counting at the level of the specific objective.
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</p>
15	References	Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	CCR11
17	Notes	The indicator is meant to be used when the objective of the intervention is to improve air quality in the respective area.

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF CF
1	Indicator code	RCR56
2	Indicator name	Time savings due to improved road infrastructures
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCR56 Road: Time savings due to improved road infrastructures
3	Measurement unit	man-days/year
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	not required
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	PO3 Connected Europe
9	Specific objective	RSO3.1 Sustainable TEN-T and RSO3.2 – Sustainable
10	Definition and concepts	Total time savings for transport on road infrastructure improved due to supported projects. The achieved value is to be estimated ex post over a period of one year after the completion of the intervention.
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	One year after completion of output in the supported project.
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	CCR13
17	Notes	See “Methodological support for ERDF and Cohesion Fund result indicators in the field of transport post 2020” JASPERS (2021) : https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/sources/policy/evaluations/guidance/2021/transport-indicators/methodl_support_indicators_post_2020_en.pdf

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF CF
1	Indicator code	RCR58
2	Indicator name	Annual users of newly, built, upgraded, reconstructed or modernised railways
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCR58 Road: Annual users railways
3	Measurement unit	passenger-km/year
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	not required
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	PO3 Connected Europe
9	Specific objective	RSO3.1 Sustainable TEN-T and RSO3.2 – Sustainable
10	Definition and concepts	Total number of passenger-km travelled on railways newly built, upgraded, reconstructed or modernised due to the supported project. The achieved value is to be estimated ex-post for the period of one year after the completion of the intervention. The indicator baseline refers to the estimated number of passenger-km travelled on the respective rail line in the year before the intervention starts, and it can be zero for new rail lines.
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	One year after completion of output in the supported project.
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	CCR 14
17	Notes	See “Methodological support for ERDF and Cohesion Fund result indicators in the field of transport post 2020” JASPERS (2021) : https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/sources/policy/evaluations/guidance/2021/transport-indicators/methodl_support_indicators_post_2020_en.pdf

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF (Interreg)
1	Indicator code	RCR81
2	Indicator name	Completion of joint training schemes
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCR81 Interreg: Completion of joint training schemes
3	Measurement unit	participants
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	not required
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant
10	Definition and concepts	The indicator counts the number of participants completing the joint trainings schemes organised by supported projects. Completion should be documented by the training organisers either through a record of the confirmed completions or by issuing certificates of completion of the training. The certificates of completion do not necessarily require a previous national certification process of the issuing organisation.
11	Data collection	MA monitoring system
12	Time measurement achieved	At project completion
13	Aggregation issues	Double counting of participants in more than one training schemes organised by the same project should be excluded.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 6).
15	References	
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	
17	Notes	In terms of links with common output indicators, indicator RCR81 is intended to be used together with RCO85. The value reported for RCR81 can be equal to or lower than the value of RCO85 (for example in case some participants withdraw after the training started or in case not all participants receive the final certification), but not higher.

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF
1	Indicator code	RCR77
2	Indicator name	Visitors of cultural and tourism sites supported
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCR77 Visitors of cultural and tourism sites
3	Measurement unit	visitors/ year
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	≥ 0
6	Milestone 2024	not required
7	Target 2029	> 0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant
10	Definition and concepts	<p>Estimated number of annual visitors of cultural and tourism sites supported. The estimation of the number of visitors should be carried out ex post one year after the completion of the intervention. The baseline of the indicator refers to the estimated annual number of visitors of the supported sites the year before the intervention starts, and it is zero for new cultural and tourism sites.</p> <p>The indicator does not cover natural sites for which an accurate estimation of number of visitors is not feasible.</p>
11	Data collection	Supported projects
12	Time measurement achieved	One year after the completion of output in the supported project.
13	Aggregation issues	
14	Reporting	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p><i>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</i></p>
15	References	<p>Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective</p> <p><i>Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).</i></p>
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	
17	Notes	

Greece – Bulgaria

Row ID	Field	Indicator metadata
0	Fund relevance	ERDF JTF
1	Indicator code	RCR03
2	Indicator name	Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) introducing product or process innovation
2b	Indicator code and short name (open data name)	RCR03 RTDI: SMEs introducing product or process innovation
3	Measurement unit	enterprises
4	Type of indicator	result
5	Baseline	0
6	Milestone 2024	not required
7	Target 2029	>0
8	Policy objective	Use in all policy objectives, whenever relevant and under JTF
9	Specific objective	Use in all specific objectives, whenever relevant and JTF
10	Definition and concepts	<p>Number of SMEs introducing product or process innovation due to the support provided. The indicator covers also micro enterprises.</p> <p>Product innovation is the market introduction of a new or significantly improved good or service with respect to its capabilities, user friendliness, components or sub-systems. Process innovation is the implementation of a new or significantly improved production process, distribution method, or supporting activity.</p> <p>Product or process innovations must be new to the enterprise supported, but they do not need to be new to the market. The innovations could have been originally developed by the supported enterprises or by other enterprises or organisations.</p> <p>(see COM 2014 in references)</p> <p>For enterprise definition - see RCO01.</p>
11	Data collection	Supported projects or enterprise surveys
12	Time measurement achieved	At most one year after the completion of output in the supported project.
13	Aggregation issues	Rule 1: Double counting removed at the level of the specific objective. An enterprise is counted once regardless how many times it receives support from operations in the same specific objective.
14	Reporting	Rule 1: Reporting by specific objective Forecast for selected projects and achieved values, both cumulative to date (CPR Annex VII, Table 3).
15	References	COM (2014) - Community Innovation Survey 2014, harmonised survey questionnaire, ESTAT, version 13, 23 July 2014 online
16	Corresponding corporate indicator	CCR01
17	Notes	